

PREFACE

Against the body of a healthy man Parliament has no right of assault, whatever under pretence of the Public Health; nor any the more against the body of a healthy infant. To forbid perfect health is a tyrannical wickedness, just as much as to forbid chastity or sobriety. No lawgiver can have the right. The law is an unendurable usurpation, and creates the right of resistance.¹

These words from Francis William Newman appeared in the Vaccination Inquirer in 1896, a journal published by the London Society for the Abolition of Compulsory Vaccination. William Tebb established the Society and journal in 1879. Both Newman and Tebb are renowned for their trailblazing work against compulsory vaccination.

The thing that was most interesting about Newman's words is that I read them shortly before I began writing this Preface, which is usually the final thing written before a book is published. His words not only mirrored my disposition regarding how mass and compulsory vaccination must be treated, but enhanced the sobering spirit I already had about the vaccination crisis.

I had read many historical books and essays about vaccination, and wrote two books that included some of this information. Yet, I had not come across the sentiment as expressed by Newman— “The law is an unendurable usurpation, and creates the right of resistance.” This, indeed, is what this book speaks to.

In this book, you will learn that, according to the U.S. Center for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) “immunization” schedule, children from birth to 6 years old, are injected with approximately 53 vaccines. Twenty-four of these are administered before the child is 12 months old. Meanwhile, autism-related illnesses have increased 2500% and now affects 1 in every 100 children. This is grossly under-estimated by the very fact that any child who receives a vaccine experiences some level of brain damage. Autism is the worst end of the brain-damage spectrum.

There is yet more to this—enough to make a person want to heap on those who maintain this system of tyranny and terror, the same atroci-

ties they have put on millions of children. Indeed, the spirit of anger against injustices and the courage to use the power we possess to end these injustices, proves that the spirit of God dwells within.

It became quite apparent to me years ago that “advocacy” against mass vaccination was a dead end. It was that way for Newman, Tebb, and all the anti-vaccinationists of that era who fought against the monstrous medical and government systems, to repeal vaccination laws that violated their human rights. After these persons died, their organizations dissolved and their literary works were estranged from the public, in hopes that people would not know that vaccination has been an enduring issue, and rediscover the simple solutions to being healthy.

Anyone who is ardently opposed to vaccination will eventually come across the works of these great people. While this may embellish one’s ability to effectively explain why compulsory vaccination and mass vaccination are tyrannical, the potential for those who promote this medical procedure to become more treacherous, is highly likely. It’s been that way. Advocacy is humanitarian, volatile, disposable and dissolvable, while systems supported by economics and politics are durable and lasting. Today, an anti-vaccination advocate is seen by the aristocratic monstrosities as a flea, whose time will soon pass.

As stated by Newman, vaccination laws are “unendurable usurpation, and creates the right of resistance.” I argue that, today, this has created far more than the “right of resistance.” During Newman’s time compulsory smallpox vaccination laws were the thorn in the side of the public, and it was enough to spur the anti-vaccination movement. Today, dozens of vaccines are forced on every child born in the U.S., and more vaccines are in the pipeline, soon to be released, with mind-blowing rapidity. This reality has urgently created the “right of abolishment” of the “tyrannical wickedness” of government-enforced mass vaccination.

Such wicked, unendurable usurpations prior to 1776, eventually ignited the Founding Fathers of the United States to separate from the British Empire. If we do not yet understand that we, too, suffer under wicked, unendurable usurpations, then the mass public educational programs designed to instill in us “self-worthlessness,” and foster an “inordinate dependency” on government institutions have been successful. This appears to be the reality, but hopefully, it is not a permanent situation.

There is much information about the origin, enforcement, and consequence of vaccination. This book, easily, could have been 10 times larger. My task was to accomplish three objectives without being lengthy, but ensuring that these points were carefully and clearly explained.

The first objective is to show how neither logic nor science supports vaccination, particularly the way it is universally implemented. People must understand the biological ramifications of vaccination and the risk they incur by obeying those who neither have an absolute knowledge about life, nor demonstrate respect for the Creator.

The second objective is to examine the real intent of those who contrived vaccination, and the political and economic agendas intrinsic in its origin. I present salient aspects and events associated with the true history of vaccination, including the real consequences of its application. All of this is directly opposite what the medical industry claims and portrays vaccination to be. Understanding this history is an essential step to keep ourselves from being victimized.

My final objective is mounted on the theme, “A Long Train of Abuses and Usurpations,” —the subtitle of this book. This descriptive phrase is in the U.S. Declaration of Independence, a document that details the human and civil rights violations that moved the 13 American colonies, in 1776, to separate from British rule.

My hope, through this book, is that the reader will see the continuum of evil woven into government-sponsored vaccination—from its inception 200 years ago, up to now, and in the immediate future—and realize that vigilance to end this tyranny is desperately needed. As I see it, to do this requires that the compassion of each of us extends beyond our individual concerns.

We must understand that the lives of our children, grand-children, great-grand children and beyond, are endangered. This is an understatement. At this juncture, it is nearly imminent that their lives will be destroyed because, to no fault of our own, we have conceded all parental authority, in matters of education and health, to a government that has long been under the strict control of profiteering and diabolical aristocratic societies.

By conscientiously attending to the “vaccination” issue, we can begin to reclaim our God-given and natural responsibilities toward our children, and rescue them from those who are determined to destroy their human potential. If we continue to ignore this crisis, especially after gaining an indepth knowledge about vaccination, then our offspring — although they will be able to eat, breathe and sleep—will increasingly become far removed from what it means to be human, functioning the way the Creator intended.

Finally, the “right of abolishment” does not mean overthrowing the government. Neither does it imply broad defiance against government authority. I am not advocating this. Because vaccination is personal, and is a “life and death” matter, then the law of self-preservation takes precedence over government laws that jeopardize our physical and mental health. State government vaccination mandates violate these natural laws.

A “citizen’s” vaccination law, predicated on self-preservation and self-determination, must be established in the hearts and minds of all citizens, beginning with each of us. The achievement of this automatically leads to a confrontation with other compulsory laws that encase the evil intent inherent in vaccination. Ultimately, “citizen’s” laws must be enacted to abolish these unjust laws, as well.

REFERENCES

-
1. April-June editions of the *Vaccination Inquirer*, 1894-5, 16. It was used especially for important editions such as 1 June 1896, when the *Inquirer* announced “Our new position” within the journal.